

August 7, 2009

ON EXPONENTIAL POLYNOMIALS AND QUANTUM COMPUTING

YASUO OHNO*, YOSHITAKA SASAKI†, AND CHIKA YAMAZAKI†

ABSTRACT. We calculate the zeros of an exponential polynomial of three variables by a classical algorithm and quantum algorithms which are based on the method of van Dam and Shparlinski, they treated the case of two variables, and compare with the time complexity of those cases. Further we compare the case of van Dam and Shparlinski with our case by considering the ratio (classical/quantum) of the time complexity. Then we can observe the ratio decreases.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a prime number p , we put $q = p^\nu$, where ν is a certain positive integer. Then we denote the finite field by $\mathbb{F}_q$ which has $q - 1$ elements. Namely, $\mathbb{F}_q$ forms an additive group and $\mathbb{F}_q^\times := \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ forms a multiplicative group, where 0 is the zero element in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Any element of $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ have a periodicity, that is there exists a smallest natural number s such that $\alpha^s = 1$. We call such s the “multiplicative order” of α . It is known that the multiplicative order is a divisor of $\#\mathbb{F}_q^\times = q - 1$.

To calculate the number of the zeros of a polynomial

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_m) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m} a_{n_1, \dots, n_m} x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_m^{n_m}$$

is a very important problem in mathematics. Here, $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $a_{n_1, \dots, n_m} \in \mathbb{F}_q$. In [3], van Dam and Shparlinski treated the following exponential polynomial

$$(1.1) \quad f(x, y) = a_1 g_1^x + a_2 g_2^y - b$$

and calculated the zeros of (1.1) by quantum algorithms. Further they compared the time complexity due to a classical algorithm with that due to a quantum algorithm. Then the “cubic” speed-up was observed.

In this article, we treat the following exponential polynomial

$$(1.2) \quad f_b(x_1, x_2, x_3) := a_1 g_1^{x_1} + a_2 g_2^{x_2} + a_3 g_3^{x_3} - b$$

and calculate the solutions of $f_b(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0$ by using quantum algorithms which are natural generalizations of the method of van Dam and Shparlinski. Here, $a_i, g_j \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$

Key words and phrases. Quantum computing, Exponential congruence, Discrete logarithm, Character sum.

*The first author is supported in part by JSPS Grant-in-Aid No. 20540033.

†The second and third authors are supported in part by “Open Research Center” Project for Private Universities: matching fund subsidy from MEXT.

$(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Further we also compare the time complexity due to a classical algorithm with that due to a quantum algorithm. Then exponentially “5/2 times” speed-up is observed.

In the next section, we introduce some notation and give the considerable lemma which supports whether there exist the zeros of (1.2). In Section 3, we evaluate the time complexity due to a classical algorithm. Further in Section 4, we evaluate the time complexity due to a quantum algorithm.

2. THE NUMBER OF SOLUTIONS OF EQUATION

In this section, we give an important formula with respect to the density of solutions of

$$(2.1) \quad f_b(x_1, x_2, x_3) := a_1 g_1^{x_1} + a_2 g_2^{x_2} + a_3 g_3^{x_3} - b = 0$$

as Lemma 2.1, below. To state it, we introduce some notation.

Let each s_i be the multiplicative order of g_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) in (2.1). We put

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &:= \{0, 1, \dots, s_i - 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/s_i\mathbb{Z}, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \\ X_3(r) &:= \{0, 1, \dots, r - 1\} \subseteq X_3 \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots, s_3), \\ \mathbf{X}^3(r) &:= X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3(r) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{X}^3 := \mathbf{X}^3(s_3) = X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3.$$

Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} S_{f_b}(r) &:= \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbf{X}^3(r) \mid f_b(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0\}, \\ N_{f_b}(r) &:= \#S_{f_b}(r) \end{aligned}$$

for $r = 1, \dots, s_3$.

By using above notation, we can state the following result:

Lemma 2.1. *Let δ be a parameter satisfying $\delta = o(q)$. For $r > \delta^2 q^3 (s_1 s_2)^{-2}$, we have*

$$(2.2) \quad N_{f_b}(r) = \frac{s_1 s_2 r}{q} + O(\delta \sqrt{r q}),$$

except for at most q/δ^2 exceptional b 's. Further O -constant can be taken 1.

Choosing $\delta = (\log q)^{1/2}$ in Lemma 2.1, we have

Corollary 2.2. *If $q^3 (s_1 s_2)^{-2} \log q < r \leq s_3$, then we see that $S_{f_b}(r) \neq \emptyset$ holds except for at most $q/\log q$ exceptional b 's.*

Remark 2.3. The above lemma and corollary make the point that the solutions of (2.1) exit only when

$$\frac{s_1 s_2}{q} \geq \left(\frac{q}{s_3 - 2} \log q \right)^{1/2} (> 1).$$

This inequality implies that the multiplicative orders s_1 and s_2 are somewhat large.

Remark 2.4. The exponent $1/2$ of $\delta = (\log q)^{1/2}$ is not necessary. In fact, $\delta = (\log q)^\varepsilon$ with any $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficient.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.1. Let ψ be a non-trivial additive character over $\mathbb{F}_q$, in fact, any additive character over $\mathbb{F}_q$ can be given as a map $\mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_1^*$, where $\mathbb{C}_1^* := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ (see [5, Theorem 5.7]). To evaluate $N_{f_b}(\mathbf{v})$, we use the following formula which plays as a counting function:

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi(u\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} N_{f_b}(r) &= \sum_{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbf{X}^3(r)} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi(\mu(f_b(x_1, x_2, x_3))) \\ &= \frac{s_1 s_2 r}{q} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbf{X}^3(r)} \psi(\mu(f_b(x_1, x_2, x_3))) \\ &=: \frac{s_1 s_2 r}{q} + \Delta_b(r). \end{aligned}$$

If the contribution from the second term on the right-hand side of the above formula can be estimated by $o(s_1 s_2 r/q)$, the above formula tells us the existence of the solution of $f_b(x_1, x_2, x_3)$. To consider it, we evaluate the mean value of the second term on the right-hand side of (2.4) with respect to b . Namely, we evaluate

$$E(r) := \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_q} |\Delta_b(r)|^2.$$

From (2.3) and some properties of the additive character over $\mathbb{F}_q$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E(r) &= \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{\mu, \mu' \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \left(\prod_{j=1}^2 \left(\sum_{x_j, x'_j \in X_j} \psi(a_j(\mu g_j^{x_j} - \mu' g_j^{x'_j})) \right) \right) \sum_{x_3, x'_3 \in X_3(r)} \psi(a_3(\mu g_3^{x_3} - \mu' g_3^{x'_3})) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi(b(\mu' - \mu)) \\
&= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \left(\prod_{j=1}^2 \left(\sum_{x_j, x'_j \in X_j} \psi(a_j \mu (g_j^{x_j} - g_j^{x'_j})) \right) \right) \sum_{x_3, x'_3 \in X_3(r)} \psi(a_3 \mu (g_3^{x_3} - g_3^{x'_3})) \\
&= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \left(\prod_{j=1}^2 \left| \sum_{x_j \in X_j} \psi(a_j \mu g_j^{x_j}) \right|^2 \right) \left| \sum_{x_3 \in X_3(r)} \psi(a_3 \mu g_3^{x_3}) \right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It is known that

$$\left| \sum_{x_j \in X_j} \psi(a_j \mu g_j^{x_j}) \right| \leq \sqrt{q} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2 \text{ and any } \mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$$

(see Theorem 8.78 in [5]). Hence we have

$$E(r) < q \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \left| \sum_{x_3 \in X_3(r)} \psi(a_3 \mu g_3^{x_3}) \right|^2 = q^2 r.$$

Therefore, if we put $\delta = o(q)$, then we can see that there exit at most q/δ^2 exceptional b 's such that

$$(2.5) \quad \left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X_3(r)} \psi(\mu(f_b(x_1, x_2, x_3))) \right| \geq \delta \sqrt{rq}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$N_{f_b}(r) = \frac{s_1 s_2 r}{q} + O(\delta \sqrt{qr})$$

for other b 's. Now, the proof of Lemma 2.1 is completed. $\square$

3. CALCULATION OF THE DETERMINISTIC TIME FOR A CLASSICAL ALGORITHM

We follow the method of van Dam and Shparlinski [3]. Then we have

Theorem 3.1. *Except for at most $q/\log q$ exceptional b 's, we can either find a solution $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbf{X}^3$ of the equation (2.1) or decide that it does not have a solution in deterministic time $q^{3/2}(\log q)^{O(1)}$ as a classical computer.*

PROOF. Using a standard deterministic factorization algorithm, we factorize $q-1$ and find the orders s_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$) of g_j in time $q^{1/2}(\log q)^{O(1)}$. We may assume without loss of generality that $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq s_3$. For calculated orders s_1 and s_2 , we put

$$(3.1) \quad r = \lceil q^3 (s_1 s_2)^{-2} \log q \rceil.$$

Then we see that the solution of (2.1) certainly exists when $r \leq s_3$. However, when $r > s_3$, we do not know whether such solutions exists. Therefore we have to consider those two cases.

For each $(x_2, x_3) \in X_2 \times X_3(r)$, we calculate the deterministic time of the discrete logarithm x_1 such that $g_1^{x_1} = a_1^{-1}(b - a_2 g_2^{x_2} - a_3 g_3^{x_3})$. It is known that the deterministic time for this case is $s_1^{1/2}(\log q)^{O(1)}$ (see Section 5.3 in [2]).

(i) The case $r \leq s_3$. We have

$$(s_2 r) s_1^{1/2} (\log q)^{O(1)} \ll q^{3/2} (\log q)^{O(1)},$$

since $s_1^{1/2} s_2 r < (s_1^2 s_2^2 r)^{1/2}$.

(ii) The case $r > s_3$. Similarly, we see that the deterministic time is

$$(s_2 s_3) s_1^{1/2} (\log q)^{O(1)} \ll q^{3/2} (\log q)^{O(1)},$$

since $s_1^{1/2} s_2 s_3 < (s_1^2 s_2^2 s_3)^{1/2} < (s_1^2 s_2^2 r)^{1/2}$.

□

4. CALCULATION OF THE TIME COMPLEXITY FOR A QUANTUM ALGORITHM

In this section, we describe quantum algorithms which are based on the method of [3].

Theorem 4.1. *Except for at most $q/\log q$ exceptional b 's, we can either find a solution $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbf{X}^3$ of the equation (2.1) or decide that it does not have a solution in time $q^{3/5}(\log q)^{O(1)}$ as a quantum computer.*

PROOF. Using Shor's algorithm [6], we can obtain the multiplicative orders s_j 's ($j = 1, 2, 3$) in polynomial time. We may assume without loss of generality that $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq s_3$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we put r as (3.1). Further, we consider a polynomial time quantum subroutine $\mathcal{S}(x_2, x_3)$ which either finds and returns $x_1 \in X_1$ with

$$g_1^{x_1} = a_1^{-1}(b - a_2 g_2^{x_2} - a_3 g_3^{x_3})$$

or reports that no such x_1 exists for a given $(x_2, x_3) \in X_2 \times X_3(r)$ by using Shor's discrete logarithm algorithm.

(i) The case $r \leq s_3$. Using Grover's search algorithm [4], we search the subroutine $\mathcal{S}(x_2, x_3)$ for all $(x_2, x_3) \in X_2 \times X_3(r)$ in time

$$(x_2 r)^{1/2} (\log q)^{O(1)} \ll q^{3/5} (\log q)^{O(1)},$$

since $x_2 r \leq (s_1^2 s_2^2 r)^{2/5}$.

(ii) The case $r > s_3$. Similarly, we search the $\mathcal{S}(x_2, x_3)$ for all $(x_2, x_3) \in X_2 \times X_3$ in time

$$(x_2 x_3)^{1/2} (\log q)^{O(1)} \ll q^{3/5} (\log q)^{O(1)},$$

since $x_2 x_3 \leq (s_1^2 s_2^2 s_3)^{2/5} < (s_1^2 s_2^2 r)^{2/5}$.

□

In [3], van Dam and Shparlinski mentioned when the multiplicative orders are large, there is a more efficient quantum algorithm. Similarly, we can also consider a more efficient quantum algorithm.

Theorem 4.2. *If we assume*

$$(s_1 s_2)^2 s_3 > q^3 \log q,$$

then we can either find a solution $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbf{X}^3$ of the equation (2.1) or decide that it does not have a solution in time $q^2(s_1^2 s_2^2 s_3)^{-1/10}(\log q)^{O(1)}$ as a quantum computer, except for at most $q/\log q$ exceptional b 's.

Remark 4.3. The upper bound of the running time of the algorithm of Theorem 4.2 is

$$O(q^{1/5}(\log q)^{O(1)}).$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.2. We may assume without loss of generality that $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq s_3$. We put

$$(4.1) \quad r = \lfloor q^3(s_1 s_2)^{-2} \log q \rfloor$$

Then from the assumption of the theorem we see that $r \leq s_3$. Hence there are some solutions of (2.1) in $\mathbf{X}^3(r)$ and we denote the number of the solutions of (2.1) by M . Note that $M \asymp (s_1 s_2 r)/q$.

As in the case of [3], we use the version of Grover's algorithm as described in [1] that finds one out of m matching items in a set of size t by using only $O(\sqrt{t/m})$ queries. We search the subroutine $\mathcal{S}(x_2, x_3)$ for all $(x_2, x_3) \in X_2 \times X_3(r)$. Then the time complexity is

$$\left(\frac{s_2 r}{M}\right)^{1/2} (\log q)^{O(1)} \leq q^{1/2}(s_1^2 s_2^2 s_3)^{-1/10} (\log q)^{O(1)}.$$

□

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

At the end of this article, we compare the case of van Dam and Shparlinski with our case. See the following list.

# of variables	Classical	Quantum	ratio (C/Q)
2	1	1/3	3
3	3/2	3/5	5/2

The case of two variables is that of van Dam and Shparlinski and the case of three variables is our case. We notice that the ratio decreases. Does the ratio decrease to 1 when the dimension increase? We can apply the method used in [3] and this paper to the case of any variables. By roughly calculating, the ratio seems to converge 2, when the number of the variables increases. It seems to come from the effect of Grover's algorithm.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. BOYER, G. BRASSARD, P. HØYER AND A. TAPP, Tight bounds on quantum searching, *Fortschritte der Physik*, **46** (1998), 493–505.
- [2] R. CRANDALL AND C. POMERANCE, *Prime Numbers: A computational perspective*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [3] W. VAN DAM AND E. SHPARLINSKI, Classical and Quantum Algorithms for Exponential Congruences, *Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Theory of Quantum Computation, Communication and Cryptography (TQC 2008)*, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 5106, 1–10 (2008)
- [4] L. GROVER, A fast quantum-mechanical algorithm for database search, *Proceedings of the 28th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC '96)*, 1996, pp. 212–219.
- [5] R. LIDL AND H. NIEDERREITER, *Finite Fields, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, Vol. 20, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [6] P. SHOR, Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer, *SIAM Journal on Computing* **26** (1997), 1484–1509.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

KINKI UNIVERSITY

HIGASHI-OSAKA, OSAKA 577-8502

JAPAN

E-mail address: ohno@math.kindai.ac.jp

INTERDISCIPLINARY GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

KINKI UNIVERSITY

HIGASHI-OSAKA, OSAKA 577-8502

JAPAN

E-mail address: sasaki@alice.math.kindai.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

KINKI UNIVERSITY

HIGASHI-OSAKA, OSAKA 577-8502

JAPAN

E-mail address: chika@math.kindai.ac.jp